

Proceedings of the Environmental Public Hearing held on 06.02.2014 at 11.00 am in Connection with the Proposed 8 Lane Peripheral Ring Road Development (Phase-I) Project which Starts from Chainage 0.00 km to Chainage 64.5 (65) km Connecting Tumkur Road with Hosur Road Through Old Madras Road.

Place of Environmental Public Hearing	Bilishivale Village, Bidarahalli Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore.
Environmental Public Hearing Panel Members Present	
1. Dr. G.C. Prakash IAS Deputy Commissioner Bangalore Urban Dist Bangalore Government of Karnataka.	Chairperson
2. Sri.M.K.Prabhu dev Senior Environmental Officer Karnataka State Pollution Control Board Bangalore East Region Bangalore.	Representative of KSPCB
3. Sri. Shanmukhappa, Environmental Officer Karnataka State Pollution Control Board Regional Office Bangalore Mahadevapura Bangalore.	Convener
Officers & Others Present	
List of Officers	Annexure - I
List of Project Proponents & their Consultants	Annexure - II
List of Participants	Annexure - III

Preamble:

The Bangalore Development Authority (BDA) has proposed to develop an 8 Lane Peripheral Ring Road (Phase – I). The project envisages formation of 65 km long Peripheral Ring Road (PRR) consisting of 8 lanes starting from Tumkur (Chainage 0.0 km) Road and ending at Hosur Road (Near Begur at Chainage 64.65 km) connecting Balavakere, Hesarghatta, Yelahanka, Bettahalasuru, Thanisandra, Bhagaluru, Avalahalli, Sadaramangala, Whitefield, Varthur, Dhommasndra and Electronic City.

The BDA Authorities have applied for Environmental Clearance from the Ministry of Forests and Environment, Government of India, as per the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Notification, 2006. Accordingly the State Environmental Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA), Karnataka, has issued Terms of Reference (ToR) dated 18.12.2009 and requested the Karnataka State Pollution Control Board to conduct Environmental Public Consultation / Public Hearing as per the EIA Notification, 2006 and submit the minutes of the meeting.

As per the guidelines stipulated in the EIA Notification, the KSPCB has initiated action and has arranged this Public Hearing / Consultation Meeting. The KSPCB has issued paper notification on 06.01.2014 in leading news papers viz., Deccan Herald (English), Vijaya Karnataka (Kannada) for wide publicity. Draft EIA report was kept in Local Gram Panchayath Offices viz., Shigehalli, Kadugodi, Chikkajala, Hesaraghatta, Bidarehalli, Avalahalli, Kannur and Doddabanaahalli.

As per the EIA Notification, 2006, the Public Hearing was conducted at Bilishivale, Bidarahalli Hobli, Bangalore on 06.02.2014 at 11.00 AM under the Chairmanship of Dr. Prakash IAS, Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Urban District. The representative of the KSPCB, Sri. M.K. Prabhudev, Regional Senior Environmental Officer, Bangalore East Region, initiated the Public Hearing Program by welcoming Deputy Commissioner and Chairman of Public Hearing Committee and all other representatives from different organization. He briefly explained the EIA Notification & requested the Public to express their views, suggestion, objections etc. Sri. Shanmukhappa, Environmental Officer, Sri. K.M. Ramesh, Deputy Environmental Officer, Dr. H.R. Puttaraju, Assistant Environmental Officer, KSPCB, Regional Office Mahadevapura, Bangalore, have assisted in conducting the meeting. Prior to and during the meeting 12 numbers of communications were received and are as follows:

Photo Copies of the same are enclosed vide Annexure-VI.

ಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ	ಕ.ರಾ.ಮಾ.ನಿ.ಮಂ, ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕ	ಕ.ರಾ.ಮಾ.ನಿ.ಮಂ, ಪ್ರಾದೇಶಿಕ ಕಛೇರಿ ಮಹದೇವಪುರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕ	ವಿಷಯ
1	ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ. ರೀನಾ ಮಹಂದ್ರ, ಆವಲಹಳ್ಳಿ ಎಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಯಲಹಂಕ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-560064	09-01-2014	24-01-2014	ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ನಾಲ್ಕು ವಲಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕ ದಿನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಾಲ್ಕು ಕಡೆ ಸಭೆ ನಡೆಸಲು ಮನವಿ.
2	ಪಿ.ಆರ್.ಆರ್-1, ತೊಂದರೆಬೀದಿ ನಿವಾಸಿಗಳ ಹಿತರಕ್ಷಣಾ ಸಮಿತಿ, ನಂ. 108, ನಾಗಾನಂದ ನಿಲಯ, ವಿಶ್ವ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿ ಲೇಔಟ್, ಮಾರುತಿನಗರ, ೨ನೇ ಹಂತ, ಯಲಹಂಕ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-560064	15-01-2014	24-01-2014	ಪರಿಸರ ಆಫಾತ ಅಧ್ಯಯನ ವರದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಮರಗಳು ಹಾಳಾಗುತ್ತವೆ ಎಂಬುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಹಾಗೂ ರಿಂಗ್ ರಸ್ತೆಯಿಂದ ಪರಿಸರದ ಹಾನಿಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಹಲವಾರು ಕೆರೆಗಳು, ಅರಣ್ಯ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳು, ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು, ಚರ್ಚೆಗಳು, ಸ್ಮಶಾನಗಳು, ಮುಖ್ಯ ರಸ್ತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಲುಕಿರುತ್ತವೆ. ೭೫ ಮೀಟರ್ ರಸ್ತೆಯ ಅಗಲದ ಮಾರ್ಗಕ್ಕೆ ಡಿ.ಪಿ.ಆರ್ ಮತ್ತು ಫಿಪಿಬಿಲಿಟಿ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟನ್ನು ಕ್ರೋಡೀಕರಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.
3	ಶ್ರೀ. ಮಹೇಂದ್ರ ಆರ್. ಆವಲಹಳ್ಳಿ ಎಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಯಲಹಂಕ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-560064	27-01-2014	04-02-2014	ಉದ್ದೇಶಿತ ಫೆರಿಫರಲ್ ರಿಂಗ್ ರಸ್ತೆ ಡಿ.ಪಿ.ಆರ್ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಪರಿಸರ ಆಫಾತ ಅನ್ವಯ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ ಉಲ್ಲಂಘನೆಯಾಗುತ್ತಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.
4	ಶ್ರೀ. ಸಿ. ಕಾಮನಿ ನಂ.35, ಕಿಂಗ್ ಸ್ಟ್ರೀಟ್ ಟವರ್, 6&7, ಕಿಂಗ್ಸ್ಟನ್ ರಸ್ತೆ, ಅಲಸೂರ್ ಕರೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-560042	27-01-2014	04-02-2014	ಪರಿಸರ ಆಫಾತ ಅಧ್ಯಯನ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ರ್ಯಾಪ್ಪಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಇವರು ನಡೆಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ಘನ ತ್ಯಾಜ್ಯ ವಸ್ತುಗಳ ವಿಲೇವಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾವಳ್ಳಿಪುರ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದು ಇದರ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಅವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಘನ ತ್ಯಾಜ್ಯ ವಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ವಿಲೇವಾರಿ ಮಾಡದಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಉಚ್ಚ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇಸು ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದು ಪರಿಸರ ಆಫಾತ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ನಂಬುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅರ್ಹಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.
5	ಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರು, ವೆಂಕಟಾಲ, ಕೊಟಗೇನ ಹಳ್ಳಿ, ಕೋಗಿಲು ಗ್ರಾಮ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು	27-01-2014	04-02-2014	ಉದ್ದೇಶಿತ ಫೆರಿಫರಲ್ ರಿಂಗ್ ರಸ್ತೆಯಿಂದ ವಾಹನಗಳು ಅತಿವೇಗವಾಗಿ ಚಲಿಸುತ್ತವೆ, ಅದರಿಂದ ದೋಳಿನ ಅಂಶಗಳು ಉತ್ಪತ್ತಿಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಗದ್ದಲದ ವತಾವರಣವು

				ಕಲುಶಿತವಾಗಿ ಹಾಸಿಯಾಗಿ ಬಡಾವಣೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸಿಸುವ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ತೂದರಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.
6	ಹಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಲಾಸ ಇಲ್ಲದ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು	14-01-2014	04-02-2014	ಉದ್ದೇಶಿತ ಫೆರಿಫರಲ್ ರಿಂಗ್ ರಸ್ತೆಯಿಂದ ಪರಿಸರ ಮಾಲಿನ್ಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಕೇಸುಗಳು ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.
7	ಎ. ಬಾರ್‌ಟಿಯ, ಬಾರತಿ ಫಾರಂ, ರಾಮಗೊಂಡನಹಳ್ಳಿ, ಯಲಹಂಕ ಪೋಲೀಸ್, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.	27-01-2014	05-02-2014	1 ಕಿ.ಮೀ. ಗೆ ಬೇಕಾಗುವ ನೀರಿನ ಬಳಕೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಯಾವ ಮೂಲದಿಂದ ನೀರನ್ನು ಬಳಸುತ್ತಾರೆ.
8	ಸುದಾಕರ್ ಹೆಗ್ಡೆ, ನಂ. 948, 6ನೇ ಕಾಸ್, ಆಶಾ ಟೌನ್ ಶಿಫ್ಟ್, ದೊಡ್ಡಗುಬ್ಬಿ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.	01-02-2014	05-02-2014	ಪೆಟ್ರೋನೇಟ್ ಎಮ್ ಬಿ ಹೆಚ್ ಲಿ., ನವರು ಪೆಟ್ರೋಲಿಯಂ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟ್‌ನ್ನು ಬಿಳಿಶಿವಾಲೆ, ರಾಂಪುರ ಅಡೂರು ಮುಂತಾದ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಡು ಹೋಗಿದ್ದು ಈ ಪ್ರೋಜೆಕ್ಟ್‌ನಿಂದ ಪೆಟ್ರೋಲಿಯಂ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟ್‌ನಿಂದ ಡಿಸ್‌ಆಸ್ಟರ್ ಸಾಧ್ಯತೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚುತ್ತದೆಂದು ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.
9	ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ, ಬಿಳಿಶಿವಾಲೆ, 1ನೇ ಮಹಡಿ, ಕುರುಬರ ಸಂಘ, 2ನೇ ಮುಖ್ಯ ರಸ್ತೆ, ಗಾಂದಿನಗರ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.	03-02-2014	05-02-2014	ಸದರಿ ಪ್ರೋಜೆಕ್ಟ್ ನಿಂದ ತಪ್ಪುಗೊಂಡನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಜಲಾಶಯದ ಹಾಗೂ ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕೆರೆಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ದುಷ್ಪರಿಣಾಮ ಬೀರುತ್ತದೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಮರಗಳನ್ನು ಕಡಿಯುವುದರಿಂದ ಪರಿಸರದಲ್ಲಿ ಬದಲಾಗುವ ಸಾಧ್ಯತೆ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.
10	ಡಿ.ಎಂ. ದ್ವಾರಕಯ್ಯ, ನಂ.222, 1ನೇ ಮಹಡಿ, 4ನೇ ಕ್ರಾಸ್, (ಸಿ.ಎಂ.ಆರ್) ಕಲ್ಯಾಣನಗರ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-560043.	ಪರಿಸರ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಆಲಿಕ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನೀಡಿರುವುದು	06-02-2014	ಉದ್ದೇಶಿತ ಫೆರಿಫರಲ್ ರಿಂಗ್ ರಸ್ತೆಯಿಂದ ಪರಿಸರ ಮಾಲಿನ್ಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಕೇಸುಗಳು ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.
11	ವಿ. ಸುರೇಶ್, ಸ/ಆಪ್ ಲೇಟ್ ಶ್ರೀ ವೆಂಕಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ ರೆಡ್ಡಿ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರರು, ಸೋರಪುರವಿಲೇಜ್, ವರ್ತೂರು ಪೋಸ್ಟ್, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-560087.	ಪರಿಸರ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಆಲಿಕ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನೀಡಿರುವುದು	06-02-2014	ಉದ್ದೇಶಿತ ಫೆರಿಫರಲ್ ರಿಂಗ್ ರಸ್ತೆಯಿಂದ ಪರಿಸರ ಮಾಲಿನ್ಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಕೇಸುಗಳು ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.
12	ಚೆರಿಯನ್ ಸೆಬಾಸ್ಟಿಯನ್, ನಲಮಹಡಿ, ನಂ. 74, 1ನೇ ಅಡ್ಡ ರಸ್ತೆ, ತ್ಯಾಗರಾಜ ಲೇಔಟ್, ಜೈ ಭಾರತ್ ನಗರ, ಬಾನಸವಾಡಿ ರೋಡ್, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-560033.	ಪರಿಸರ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಆಲಿಕ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನೀಡಿರುವುದು	06-02-2014	ಉದ್ದೇಶಿತ ಫೆರಿಫರಲ್ ರಿಂಗ್ ರಸ್ತೆಯನ್ನು 10 ರಿಂದ 15 ಕಿ.ಮೀ. ದೂರಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ಥಳಾಂತರಿಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

On behalf of BDA, Sri. Hemanth Rajkumar, representing M/s. Ramky Enviro Engineers Limited, Bangalore, explained the public and officials regarding PRR project, its alignment & significance, through power point presentation. Salient feature of the project presented is as given below.

- Total Length of PRR - 65 km (Phase - I).
- Cost of the project 930 Crores.
- Consists 8 Lane with single Lane width of 3.5 m.
- Area Coverage 975 acres (15 Acres per km).
- Connects Tumkur Road with Hosur Road passing through Old Madras Road.
- Intersects at Hessarghatta Road, Doddaballapur, Bellary Road & Hennur Road.
- Water requirement for road formation - 3.5 MLD.
- Requisite Water Pollution, Air Pollution Control measures to be adopted.

After presentation, the Deputy Commissioner requested the public gathered in the meeting to submit their representations, objections / grievances / advices related to the environmental issues in connection with the PRR Development. Suggestions, views, objections, expressed by the gathered public are summarized below:

1. **Sri. Satya Babu, Harohalli Village Yelahanka Hobli:**

He said that there is no proper information regarding compensation to be paid in respect of acquired land or building. He also stated that this project was initiated in 2005 and thereafter no meeting, discussion or information are provided by the BDA. He also questioned the BDA that how many more years are required to complete the project. He has said pollution level in the area increases due to formation of Proposed Peripheral Ring Road as it leads to increase of vehicular movement on the road and requested to change the alignment of the proposed road.

2. **Sri. Pawan, Bilishivale:**

He requested the BDA authorities to clarify on the compensation to be paid for the land aligned as the BDA has changed the alignment. Further due to change in the alignment of PRR frequently, their lands are not converted for any other activity.

3. **Sri. Suresh, Soraunse Village:**

He said that it has been informed that approximately 200 trees will be cut, however in his 2.5 acres orchard there are about 30 Sapota Plants and 60 Coconut Trees, what is the basis that 200 plants have been estimated to be cut. He has further said that they are earning their livelihood by way of practicing sericulture and horticulture. Development of the road would deprive them of their livelihood along with increase in air and noise pollution. Since he feels that road project does not create any new job opportunities, and hence the project should be dropped.

4. **Sri. Shyam, Bilishivale:**

He requested to clarify on the alignment of the road and also requested to clarify on the villages along with Sy Numbers that will not be affected by this PRR from Hennur Road.

5. **Sri. Mahesh, Bilishivale:**

He said that it's a good objective that the road development is taken up which does not pose any threat to their village, but instead it will result in economic development and alleviation of many problems. Construction of road is paramount for development of city of Bangalore, as such, he requested everybody to support the project and requested for suitable compensation.

6. **Sri Rajan Venkatala, Yelahanka:**

He expressed that the EIA report which was prepared during 2010 (3-4 years back) has no credibility. He sought clarification on the allotment of land for construction of house to those who loose their entire land for road work. He expressed his deep concern that the alignment has changed 3-4 time in order to suit certain class of society and rich people. He said the road alignment blue prints are improper and BDA is not coming up with suitable convincing reply, hence the whole proposal does not seem to be in order. So he as requested to drop the project in present location and take up the same outside BBMP limit. He further quipped not to take up the project until the court cases filed by many land lords (Through whose land present road alignment is take up) are disposed off.

7. **Sri Ashok, Bilishivale:**
He has requested to drop the project as the distance between old ring road and the proposed road is only 6 km. He suggested realigning the road keeping 10 - 15 km distance between the present and the proposed roads.
8. **Sri Anand Kumar, Bilishivale:**
He has requested to take up the project as soon as possible which just has been in discussions from many years with time bound schedule.
9. **Sri Ramaswamy, Kyalasanahalli:**
He sought information on beginning and ending of this road alignment along with details of villages and survey numbers it encompasses.
10. **Sri Cherian, Cocks town, Bangalore:**
He stated that proposed peripheral ring road first phase was approved in 1995 CDP and BDA has dropped this project during 2004 owing to fast paced development of Bangalore. Subsequently in CDP, Inspection Report of September 2007 and as per draft feasibility report, proposed road development is at the distance of 5km from the existing ring road. Further, M/s. Stup Consultant have submitted the report that distance between present and proposed roads shall be 10-15 km keeping rapid growth of Bangalore city and from the point of view of pollution control, he said. So he has requested to realign the road keeping aforesaid and growth of Bangalore.
11. **Smt. Rina Mahendra, Avalahalli:**
She said that this public hearing is organized for discussion of environment related issues only in respect of the proposed road and urged to discuss only such issues. She requested BDA to organize separate meeting to discuss other issues including compensation.
She also questioned that EIA report was prepared in 2010 and already three years have elapsed and whether this report can be considered. She also pointed out that the EIA report is silent on whether the road alignment passes through Thipagondanhalli Reservoir Catchment Area, existing Gas Pipeline and Forest Area.
12. **Sri Prabhakar, Agrahara, Yelahanka:**
He said that first survey has been done during 2006 and redone 4-5 times subsequently leading to change in the alignments due to which they are in fear of losing the house. So he has requested to shelve the project.
13. **Sri Sukumar, Kothanur, Dhodda Gubbi:**
He thanked for having organized environmental public hearing which he said would provide them a good opportunity to express their grievance, indicate suggestions. The project has been inordinately delayed without giving any information to the interested and affected, he said. Further, he has told that he is from Kolar District and procured land here with intention of constructing a house which dream he is not been able to realize from the past 8 years due to impending road project. He has expressed his anxiousness about not availability of project progress in writing.

14. Sri Manjunath, Rampura Village:

He has said that he is in favor of road project development and is of the opinion that even if they shift the alignment to some other place, the same impact will be felt at that place also. He also state that the progress of the project development is very slow and it is to be speeded up or otherwise the same may be dropped.

15. Sri Venu, Rampura:

He said that no civil work has begun even though the land has been acquired 8 years ago, this is not proper, the work has to been taken up within a year or two after acquisition and work be completed. Otherwise it is common man who is at the receiving end suffers, He requested officers to execute the project and complete it at the earliest.

16. Smt. Jamuna, Dodda Gubbi:

She expressed that the BDA has failed to put up suitable boards indicating the extent and exact land for acquisition. This has created lots of confusion among people to take up construction of their own house even though they had purchased land long back. She said it is a basic dream of every person to have an own house.

17. Sri Ravi, Bilishivale:

He has said that economic development can be achieved through good connectivity of roads, therefore need of good roads appears very prominent. Therefore provide the alternative land to the land losers so that acquisition of land for development works can be consented one, he said. The project has to be taken up quickly as city is witnessing rapid growth and increase in density of vehicles. He urged to disburse the compensation at the earliest so that people do not suffer. He further said that wherever road work is taken up some amount of tree chopping will have to be encounter, in the present alignment this is at minimal, as such, he requested the public not to pose objection to the project.

Reply from Officers:

Sri Ravi, Executive Engineer, PRR Division BDA:

He said that this public hearing has been organized to discuss environmental issues however more issues related to acquisition and compensation of land is being discussed. He brought before the forum that on January 29th process related to land acquisition and has been explained in detail to the participant land lord and heads of farmers along with certain assurances by BDA commissioner. He said that land compensation issue is under discussion with Government and suitable decision will be taken and implemented. To gain more knowledge on land acquisition process, a discussion will be held with the concerned land acquisition officers to disburse suitable compensation, he said. Further, he said that this environmental impact analysis encompasses information related to the years 2010 to 2014. It is estimated that approximately


500 trees, excluding eucalyptus and coconut trees, are required to be cut during execution of project, he said. Totally about 6000 trees may have to be cut in the proposed road alignment which will be done in consultation with forest department.

Sri Narasimhappa, Special Land Acquisition Officer, BDA:

He said that as per The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013, market rate has to be considered from the date of preliminary notification of land acquisition and there is no ambiguity such as preliminary or final notification and it is mandatory to consider the prevailing market rate. He also said that it is their prime duty to consider and disburse compensation properly as per the market rate. He added that the prevailing market rate of the land varies from village to village which will be considered while disbursing applicable compensation.

Dr.G.C. Prakash IAS, Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Urban District:

He concluded that suggestions/opinions/requests that are expressed during the meeting will be forwarded to KSPCB for onward transmission to SEIAA as per the EIA Notification.


Dr.G.C. Prakash IAS
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Urban District, GoK

